Breaking time reversal in a simple smooth chaotic system
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Within random matrix theory, the statistics of the eigensolutions depend fundamentally on the presence (or absence) of time reversal symmetry. Accepting the Bohigas-Giannoni-Schmit conjecture, this statement extends to quantum systems with chaotic classical analogs. For practical reasons, much of the supporting numerical studies of symmetry breaking have been done with billiards or maps, and little with simple, smooth systems. There are two main difficulties in attempting to break time reversal invariance in a continuous time system with a smooth potential. The first is avoiding false time reversal breaking. The second is locating a parameter regime in which the symmetry breaking is strong enough to transform the fluctuation properties fully to the broken symmetry case, and yet remain weak enough so as not to regularize the dynamics sufficiently that the system is no longer chaotic. We give an example of a system of two coupled quartic oscillators whose energy level statistics closely match with those of the Gaussian unitary ensemble, and which possesses only a minor proportion of regular motion in its phase space.
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Since its introduction into nuclear physics by Wigner [1], random matrix theory (RMT) has grown to encompass a broad variety of applications and can be viewed as a significant portion of the foundation of the statistical mechanics of finite systems. One of the central tenets of RMT emphasized by Wigner was that the ensembles carry no information other than that required by the symmetries of the system. A very important symmetry is time reversal, which determines whether the ensemble is composed of real symmetric matrices, Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE—good symmetry), or complex Hermitian matrices, Gaussian unitary ensemble (GUE—broken symmetry). This distinction leads to quite different predictions for the statistical properties of both the energy levels and the eigenfunctions. In fact, Wigner proposed it as a test of time reversal invariance in the strong energy levels and the eigenfunctions. In fact, Wigner proposed it as a test of time reversal invariance in the strong interaction, using slow neutron resonance data [2]. His suggestion was not fully realized until more than 20 years later [3].

Time reversal is well known to be an antiunitary symmetry in quantum mechanics, and Robnik and Berry generalized the criterion for expecting the statistical properties of the GOE to include any antiunitary symmetry [4]. An elementary example of an antiunitary symmetry would be the product of some reflection symmetry and time reversal. Some of the early investigations of nonvariant systems were of Aharanov-Bohm chaotic billiards [5], symmetry breaking quantum maps [6], and combinations of magnetic and scalar forces [7]. More recent investigations found mostly chaotic behavior in nonvariant time-dependent systems [8].

There is no known mechanical-type system of a particle moving under the influence of a simple, closed, smooth potential whose dynamics is rigorously proven to be fully chaotic, independent of the question of antiunitary symmetry; we exclude diffusive dynamics associated with random potentials. For some period of time, the $x^2y^2$ quartic potential was a prime candidate, but eventually stable trajectories were found [9]. Nevertheless, there exists a family of quartic potentials with $x^2y^2$ as a limiting case whose fluctuations closely approximate GOE statistics, and whose classical dynamics contain negligible phase space zones of stable trajectories. Therefore, to construct a close approximation of a Hamiltonian without an antiunitary symmetry and GUE statistics, we begin by considering the symmetry preserving two-degree-of-freedom coupled quartic oscillators whose Schrödinger equation is given by

$$\hat{H}_0\Psi(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m}\Psi(\vec{r}) + \hat{V}(\vec{r})\Psi(\vec{r}) = E\Psi(\vec{r}).$$

The potential can be expressed as

$$\hat{V}(\vec{r}) = a(\lambda)\left[\frac{x^4}{b} + by^4 + 2\lambda x^2y^2\right],$$

where $a(\lambda)$ is a convenient constant, $b \neq 1$ lowers the symmetry, and $\lambda$ gives the strength of the coupling; $(b = 1, \lambda = -1)$ is equivalent to $V(\vec{r}) = x^2y^2$ by a $\pi/4$ rotation of the $(x,y)$ coordinates. The corresponding classical Hamiltonian is

$$H_0(\vec{r},\vec{p}) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(\vec{r}).$$

This system is symmetric under time reversal and reflections with respect to $x$ and $y$. For strong couplings, $\lambda \approx -0.6$, the statistics have been shown to agree extremely well with the GOE predictions [10]. As a first attempt to break time reversal symmetry, we add the following term to the quantum Hamiltonian ($\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1$):

$$\hat{H}_1 = \frac{i\hbar}{2m}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2\right].$$
where \( r \) is the radial polar variable. \( \hat{H}_1 \) breaks time reversal invariance in the Hamiltonian without altering the original reflection symmetries, and thus does not admit an antiunitary symmetry from any combination of reflection and time reversal. This term was chosen to maintain a scaling property of the eigensolutions of the quartic oscillators due to the homogeneity of the potential \( \dot{10} \). However, \( \hat{H}_1 \) gives us an excellent example of false symmetry breaking. In fact, it turns out that \( \hat{H}_1 \) is given by the cross terms arising from a vector potential \( A(r) = e^{-2} r \) which can also be expressed as the gradient of a scalar function, i.e.,

\[
\hat{H}_1 = \frac{i \hbar}{2m} [\nabla \cdot A(r) + A(r) \cdot \nabla].
\]

This means that, up to the addition to the potential of a term \( e^2 r^4 \), \( H_1 \) can be understood as deriving from a magnetic field \( B = \nabla \times A = 0 \), which obviously will not change any physical quantity. And indeed, it is possible to make a gauge transformation and rewrite the wave function using the Dirac substitution

\[
\Psi(r) = \exp \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \int r \cdot A(r') \, dr' \right) \Psi'(r) = e^{ir^3/3\hbar} \Psi'(r)
\]

in order to cancel \( \hat{H}_1 \) plus the afore-mentioned \( e^2 r^4 \) term. Furthermore, the transformation is single valued, since \( B = 0 \) implies

\[
\oint A(r') \cdot dr' = 0.
\]

The expectation, following the considerations of Ref. [4], is therefore to find the GOE statistics and not those of the GUE. See the upper panel of Fig. 1, which compares the number variance of the system (i.e., the variance of the number of levels found in an energy interval of width \( s \) scaled locally to mean unit level density) with the predictions of the GOE and the GUE. The GOE statistics are closely matched to a mean level spacing and a bit beyond. The parameter \( \epsilon \) was chosen slightly greater than unity because this forced the eigenlevels through a couple of avoided crossings, which would have been sufficient to push the spectral fluctuations toward the GUE if the symmetry was not being falsely broken.

From a classical perspective, the Hamiltonian, not incorporating the guage transformation, is

\[
H(r,p) = \frac{p_r^2}{2m} - 2 \epsilon e^2 r^4 + \frac{p_\theta^2}{2mr^2} + V(r,\theta),
\]

which itself appears to violate time reversal symmetry, as well as in Hamilton’s equations of motion:
\[ \dot{r} = \frac{1}{m}(p_r - e r^2), \quad \dot{p}_r = -\frac{\partial V(r, \theta)}{\partial r} + 2\frac{e}{m} r p_r, \]
\[ \dot{\theta} = \frac{p_\theta}{mr^2}, \quad \dot{p}_\theta = -\frac{\partial V(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta}. \quad (9) \]

However, even without considering a canonical transformation, just by converting to the Lagrangian description of the dynamics using the left-hand side equations, it turns out that
\[ \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(m r^2 + m r^2 \dot{r}^2) - V(r, \theta) + \frac{e^2}{2m} r^4 + e r^2 \dot{r}. \quad (10) \]

The final term, which appears to break the symmetry, cannot enter the equations of motion. They are invariant under addition of any total time derivative. It is not always obvious, a priori, whether a symmetry breaking term leads to false symmetry breaking or not.

If we multiply the symmetry breaking term by any function of \( \theta \), it can no longer be a total time derivative, nor can the vector potential be expressed as the gradient of a scalar function. Consider
\[ \hat{H}_1 = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \cos^2 \theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right). \quad (11) \]

The vector potential becomes \( \mathbf{A}(\vec{r}) = e r^2 \cos^2 \theta \vec{r} \), and therefore \( \mathbf{B} = 2exy/r \neq 0 \). The integrals \( \int \mathbf{A}(\vec{r}) \cdot \vec{d}r \) and \( \oint \mathbf{A}(\vec{r}) \cdot \vec{d}r \) are path dependent. The Dirac substitution is not useful, and the false symmetry breaking is not an issue.

Driving the eigenvalues at numerically attainable energies through a couple of avoided crossings forces \( e \) to be chosen in the neighborhood of unity. This has a strong regularizing effect on the nature of the dynamics. See the surface of section in Fig. 2 for the case \( \lambda = 0.8, e = 1 \). Only its spectrum gives number variance statistics close to the GUE results; see the lower panel of Fig. 1.

To summarize, we have given an example of a simple, continuous, dynamical system that comes close to generating GUE statistics. It is surprisingly difficult to find an essentially fully chaotic system that does so. The pitfall of false time reversal breaking can lead to symmetries that are quite well hidden, and the addition of a vector potential to a dynamical system has a strong tendency to move the system away from fully developed chaos.
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