

STUDENT: _____ **EVALUATOR NAME:** _____

For each criterion below, please **circle**

- key phrases that describe the work
- a whole number or increment of 0.5

Note: 3.0 = competency (satisfactory)

1. Introduction

Needs improvement	Developing	Satisfactory	Outstanding
1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction inadequate • Audience poorly prepared for thesis topic 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction interesting and engaging • Introduction prepared audience for thesis topic 	

2. Organization

Needs improvement	Developing	Satisfactory	Outstanding
1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Speaker disorganized/poorly organized • Jumped between topics, and/or lacked periodic summaries 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well-organized, easy to follow • Smooth transitions and periodic summaries 	

3. Delivery

Needs improvement	Developing	Satisfactory	Outstanding
1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delivery uncomfortable, unsure, unprepared or memorized • Speech too slow / too fast / too soft • Eye contact with audience lacking or absent 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Speech smooth, clear, and articulate • Delivery comfortable, poised, prepared, • Eye contact appropriate, connection to audience 	

4. Content

Needs improvement	Developing	Satisfactory	Outstanding
1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Terms undefined or minimally defined; background information and/or assumptions lacking • Research deficient in evaluation or synthesis • Assumptions not addressed 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key terms defined and necessary background information provided • Research information evaluated and well synthesized • Assumptions identified and discussed 	

5. Media and Resources

Needs improvement	Developing	Satisfactory	Outstanding
1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Media and format poor choice for content • Materials sometimes confusing or distracting, or erroneous 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate media and format for content • All materials lucid, with pertinent information 	

6. Response to Questions

Needs improvement	Developing	Satisfactory	Outstanding
1	2	3	4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Misunderstands questions or cannot answer some questions 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Answers questions well and with reference to own work • Shows knowledge of subject 	

<p>Brief comments on oral presentation:</p> 	<p>Overall evaluation (written and oral combined):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Nominate for Pass with Distinction <input type="checkbox"/> Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory / Pass <input type="checkbox"/> Thesis needs revisions to pass <input type="checkbox"/> Fail
--	---