# **Rubric for Assessing Graduate Student Work in Crop and Soil Sciences**

#### COMPETENCY (SATISFACTORY) = 4.0 FOR M.S. STUDENTS AND 5.0 FOR Ph.D. STUDENTS

1. KNOWLEDGE OF FIELD. Understands the breadth and depth of knowledge associated with their discipline.

6 - Mastering	5 - Effective	4 - Competent	3 - Developing	2 - Emerging	1 - Minimal	0
Clearly understands most or all of the concepts associated with the discipline as well as the challenges and embedded ssues.		Understands some of the key concepts associated with the discipline. May or may not describe embedded issues.		Does not understand the key concepts, challenges, or embedded issues associated with the discipline; or does so minimally.		on this work
	te and nuanced use of definitions, and terms dience the work is	terms is generally ac	uage, definitions and curate and appropriate work is intended for.	Often misuses tech concepts, and/or re layperson's languag	lies on overly general	to rate based
Demonstrates approp depth of knowledge a discipline.		Demonstrates appro knowledge associate but lacks depth (or v	d with the discipline		ed breadth and depth of ed with the discipline.	Unable

### 2. Scientific reasoning. Designs, conducts, analyzes and interprets research important to their discipline.

2a. Literature: Search, Selection, & Review.

6 - Mastering	5 - Effective	4 - Competent	3 - Developing	2 - Emerging	1 - Minimal	0
info sources to create	ate, relevant, and high quality or create a presentation that is palanced and richly supported purces.  Uses a moderate number of respectable sources that, for the most part, cover the needed info. Some sources may be irrelevant or out of date, and/or key area(s) of the issue may not be addressed.  Minimal or no evidence of search, selection, or source evaluation skills.		d on this work			
	only minimally evaluates sources for quality, ives, relevance, and currency.  Only minimally evaluates sources for quality, relevance and currency		sources is present.	rate based		
relevant gaps in their skills. Good knowledg	entifies gaps in the literature and/or evant gaps in their own knowledge or lls. Good knowledge of previous and erent research in their discipline.		Shows some signs of evaluating info gaps in the literature or in their own knowledge or skills. Gaps in knowledge of previous and current research in their discipline.		Does not identify the info gaps or what they still need to know. Limited knowledge of previous or current research in their discipline.	

2b. Defining the Problem.

6 - Mastering	5 - Effective	4 - Competent	3 - Developing	2 - Emerging	1 - Minimal	0
Identifies a focused, unique, original problem that is challenging and well defined.		Identifies a somewhat focused problem that is interesting but not particularly challenging or is simplistic.  OR the problem is unsatisfactorily defined and characterized, with important omissions of key considerations.		The problem, if identified, is confused or simplistic.		rate based on this
Potential for significar research to their disci		Limited potential for research to their disc focus could prove to significantly.	cipline or with more	Contribution of the discipline is not clea		Unable to

2c. Methodology & Data Presentation.

Approach and methodology are complete, appropriate and correct for the problem. Has knowledge of emerging methodologies in their discipline.  Data collected and presented demonstrates a clear understanding of the info and its relationship with the problem.  Data presented appropriately - graphs and/or tables are complete, accurate, relevant, and contain appropriate headings, descriptors, significant figures, etc. Use of statistics is appropriate and presented correctly.  Approach and methodology are related to the problem but do not fully address the problem but do not fully address the problem but do not fully address the problem sue to flaws or inappropriate appropriate approach. Has limited knowledge of emerging methodologies in their discipline.  Data collected and presented adequately. Relationship of the data to the problem are not entirely clear.  Data presented appropriately - graphs and/or tables are complete, accurate, relevant, and contain appropriate headings, but some details may be missing or unclear, such as units, significant figures, etc. Statistical information is generally understood and interpreted correctly.  Data presentation are incomplete, poorly labeled, confusing, or missing all together.  Poor/inappropriate methodology are unrelated to the problem. Has no knowledge of emerging methodologies in their discipline.  Limited data collected or data/approach demonstrates little attention to or understanding of the problem  Data presentation are incomplete, poorly labeled, confusing, or missing all together.  Poor/inappropriate methodology are unrelated to the problem.  Has no knowledge of emerging methodologies in their discipline.  Limited data collected or data/approach demonstrates little attention to or understanding of the problem  Data presentation are incomplete, poorly labeled, confusing, or missing all together.  Poor/inapproaches demonstrates approaches demonstrates labeled to the problem.  Bata collected and presented approaches demonstrates labeled to the problem.  Bata collected	6 - Mastering	5 - Effective	4 - Competent	3 - Developing	2 - Emerging	1 - Minimal	0
relationship with the problem.  Data presented appropriately - graphs and/or tables are complete, accurate, relevant, and contain appropriate headings, descriptors, significant figures, etc. Use of statistics is appropriate and presented clearly and completely. Interpretations drawn from statistical presentations are  not entirely clear.  Data presented are generally appropriately - graphs and/or tables contain relevant headings and/or tables contain relevant headings, but some details may be missing or unclear, such as units, significant figures, etc. Statistical information is generally understood and interpreted correctly.  Data presentation are incomplete, poorly labeled, confusing, or missing all together.	appropriate and corre Has knowledge of em	ect for the problem.	the problem but do r problems due to flav approach. Has limite	not fully address the vs or inappropriate d knowledge of	approaches demon methodology are u Has no knowledge o	strated, or approach and nrelated to the problem. of emerging	
and/or tables are complete, accurate, relevant, and contain appropriate headings, descriptors, significant figures, etc. Use of statistics is appropriate and presented completely. Interpretations drawn from statistical presentations are	a clear understanding	of the info and its	Relationship of the d	' '	demonstrates little	attention to or	this
	and/or tables are com relevant, and contain descriptors, significan statistics is appropriat clearly and completely drawn from statistical	appropriate headings, t figures, etc. Use of the and presented y. Interpretations	graphs and/or tables headings, but some o or unclear, such as u etc. Statistical inform	contain relevant details may be missing nits, significant figures, nation is generally	•		to rate based

2d. Data Analysis and Interpretation.

6 - Mastering	5 - Effective	4 - Competent	3 - Developing	2 - Emerging	1 - Minimal	0
and thorough, includi data given in graphs a	•	well as the overall re	ained from sources, in graphs and tables, as sults and conclusions One or more minor	simply a restateme found elsewhere. I	etation of data, instead is nt of facts and ideas Misunderstands or given in their sources.	on this work
Logical and highly insi the info presented. E integrating literature appropriate and creat demonstrates firm un Alternate interpretati from, data are discuss in detail.	and data in ive ways. Analysis derstanding of data. ons of, or inferences	info presented, with mistakes. Demonsti understanding of the to connect literature evidence, but analys spots or contains ina generally reflects evi	rates a basic e data and some ability and data to analyze is is confusing in some ccuracies. Analysis dence reviewed, ted. May provide brief,	Limited or no logical info presented. Do understand the info	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Unable to rate based o

2e. Conclusions and Recommendations.

6 - Mastering	5 - Effective	4 - Competent	3 - Developing	2 - Emerging	1 - Minimal	0	
Conclusions are accurate, appropriate, and clearly linked to problem and data presented.  Conclusions and recommendations are balanced and qualified to account for uncertainties in the data or unpredictability of the system, and student's own biases.		Conclusions are reas take into account all	•	Conclusions are inaccurate and/or unreasonable, do not reflect the research and data presented, or are merely a simplistic summary not tied to the original problem.		seq	
		In a limited way, students consider uncertainties or other limitations of the conclusions or evidence.		Conclusions and rec biased and do not r data, suggesting vie before or in spite o	Unable to rat		
Comments:				before or in spite o	f the evic	dence.	

**3. COMMUNICATION.** Communicates effectively to a diverse group of people using appropriate traditional and emerging technological media.

6 - Mastering	5 - Effective	4 - Competent	3 - Developing	2 - Emerging	1 - Minimal	0
Captures and commu	nicates the intended	Captures and commi	inicates the intended	Inadequately/inaccurately captures and		
idea(s) accurately and	l clearly.	idea(s) accurately bu	t parts are not clear.	communicates the	intended idea(s) due to	
				gaps and digression	s. Little attention is paid	
				to accuracy.		~
						/or
•	with the audience and	, ,	ntify main points and		main points. Transitions	.s
are smoothly tied tog	ether.	transitions are usual	y smooth.	may be rough.		on this work
C	le ale e Centre	De diene de de de de de		Control be also as a	1 to for an all a control to a control	l or
Compellingly conveys	wny the issue	Background and con-		_	d info and context so not	based
matters.		indicate the issue is i	important.	at all clear why issu	e matters.	e ps
						rate
Visuals (graphs, tables	s. diagrams, etc) are	Visuals (graphs, table	es. diagrams. etc)	Not clear how the v	risuals (graphs, tables,	Unable to
clear, concise, and rel		,	e written component,		credibility to the topic.	ple
,		, , , , ,	erly complex, simplistic,		, '	Jna
		or redundant.				_
				Multiple errors in g	rammar, syntax,	
Polished, error-free, a	ind engaging.	Contains errors, but	errors do not distract	punctuation, etc., t	hat obscure and/or	
Professional.		from or misrepresen	t content and ideas.	misrepresents the o	content.	

4. Original contribution. Demonstrates potential for original contribution to their discipline.

6 - Mastering 5 - Effective	4 - Competent	3 - Developing	2 - Emerging	1 - Minimal	0
Research demonstrates excellent potential for original contribution to their discipline. Research is unique, well organized, complete, and statistically sound.	original contribution Research is unique b	•	Research contains s make it unpublishal	erious flaws that would ble. Not unique.	to rate based this work
Research prepares student for further productive research beyond graduate school.	Research prepares st research beyond grad		Limited or no poter further research in	itial for student to do this area.	Unable
Comments:					

## Rubric for Assessing Graduate Work in the Department of Crop and Soil Sciences

#### For use by committee

		. o. use by committee			
Student's nai	me:	Date:	MS PhD	Crops	_ Soils
Title:					
Check one:	Proposal seminar/defense	Prelim exam	Final semir	nar/defense _	
demonstrate	he learning outcomes below, pleas d in the student work using the att A = Unable to rate). Please use who	ached rubric for guidan	ce. ( <i>6/5 = Masterin</i>		
	Learn	ing Outcome			Score
1. Knowledgresearch.	<b>ge of Field.</b> Demonstrates adequate b	preadth and depth of know	vledge of the field in	their area of	
	<b>Reasoning.</b> Appropriately designs, of problems in their discipline.	conducts, analyzes, and int	terprets research effe	ectively on	
	erature: Search, Selection, and Review mprehensive knowledge of previous are			onstrates	
b. De	fining the Problem. Identifies a viable	question within the field	of study and effective	ely	
doo	cuments the contribution of the resear	rch to the area of study.			
	ethodology and Data Collection. Design the hypothesis or the solve problem.		priate research exper	iments to	
d. Da	ta Analysis and Interpretation. Analyz	zes and interprets research	n data appropriately.		
Dei	monstrates sufficient knowledge of ap	propriate concepts, theor	ies, and emerging me	ethodologies	
in t	heir area of research.				
e. Co	nclusions and Recommendations. Pre	sents conclusions and rec	ommendations that a	are accurate,	
cle	arly linked to data presented, and take	e into account all critical fa	actors.		
	ication. Communicates effectively to	a diverse group of people	using appropriate tra	aditional and	
emerging tec	hnological media.				

**Comments:** 

**4. Original Contribution**. Demonstrates potential for original contribution to their discipline.