



## 2023 GPSA Research Exposition Abstract Evaluation Rubric

Eligibility Criteria <sup>1</sup>	Yes/No
Abstract is submitted by WSU Pullman fee-paying graduate and professional students.	
All work must have been completed at or for WSU (no external student internships).	
Abstract meets the 350 words limit.	
Abstract demonstrates scientific, scholarly, or creative significance.	

<sup>1</sup> If the reviewer discovers that any of the above boxes is checked “No”, then the abstract may be marked as “ineligible.”

Abstract Content	4	3	2	1	Score
<b>Format/Grammar</b>	Meets all formatting guidelines. No spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors.	Meets most formatting guidelines. No spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors.	Meets some formatting guidelines. Have spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors.	Did not follow formatting guidelines: Not organized, no headings, Have multiple spelling, grammar, or punctuation errors.	
<b>Clarity of Objective and Title</b>	Objective of work is communicated clearly with purposeful language and is well justified.	Objective is generally clear, but not consistently.	Objective is generally unclear and inconsistent.	Objective is not stated or underdeveloped nor justified.	
<b>Methods</b>	Methodology is well-presented and displays a clear progression toward the objectives.	Methodology is generally clear, but not consistent and/or unclear.	Methodology is unclear and inconsistent with the objectives.	Logical errors in methods. Appropriate methodology is unclear.	
<b>Results and Interpretation</b>	Results presented are clear and related to the objectives.	Results support the objective but lack clarity.	Results are preliminary and poorly represent objectives.	Results are not sufficient to support the objectives of the work.	
<b>Implications/Contribution</b>	Abstract communicates how research is clear, substantial, novel, current, or cutting-edge in participant’s field and/or beyond.	Work highlights a limited impact in presenter’s field and/or community, but is still relevant.	Impact of work is unclear, loosely related to the field, not completely current, or poorly presented.	The original contribution or appropriateness to the field is not presented or loosely justified.	
<b>Communication to the General Audience</b>	Well understandable even for people from different fields of the present study.	Understandable but is not written appropriately to use minimum technical terms.	Reaching minimum understanding and isn’t compelling to the general audience.	Very vague writing to general audience.	
<b>Total score</b>					