Student Name:	
PhD Criminal Justice Written Qualifying Examination Rubric - Completed by:_	Date:

Segment 2 — Criminal Justice Systems and Specialized Sub-Area of Criminal Justice/Criminology

To be completed by each committee member.

Please check boxes for all evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category. After all attribute boxes are checked, selected the most appropriate cell and score the exam.

Attribute	Insufficient – 0 pts	Below Expectation – 1 pt	Satisfies Expectations – 2 pts	Exceeds Expectations – 3 pts
Argument/ Point of View	□ Response is seriously flawed: □ Failure to demonstrate a central argument or theme. □ Responses lack clarity, are inconsistent and/or ramble without a consistent point of view and/or □ The student did not answer all portions of the question	☐ Insufficiently answers some portions of the question. ☐ Response is coherent but lacks depth or ☐ Provides little support for central argument/theme	☐ Adequately demonstrates a point of view. ☐ Response is coherent and ☐ Provides sufficient support for central argument/theme	☐ Exceptional argument demonstration. ☐ Provides a central theme and ☐ Thoroughly demonstrates major and minor components of their point of view.
Knowledge of the System *Note – this section should be roughly 2-5 pages	□ Response is seriously flawed: □ Does not demonstrate critical thinking skills as it pertains to the system, □ Presents substantive misstatement of fact that demonstrates clear lack of understanding of relevant concept, □ Response provides less than adequate scope, and/or □ Fails to address the system components of the question.	□ Response is insufficient: □ Demonstrates limited critical thinking skills as it pertains to the system as a whole, □ Makes limited and insufficient connections between institutions in the system □ Response is narrow in scope □ Answers the system components of the question but lacks depth in several areas.	□ Response is adequate: □ Demonstrates adequate critical thinking skills as it pertains to the system, □ Understands the interconnections of institutions in the system □ Addresses all system components but may describe some better than others □ Answers the system components in sufficient depth.	☐ Response is exceptional: ☐ Demonstrates substantial critical thinking skills as it pertains to the system, ☐ Addresses the interconnections within the system in detail ☐ Addresses all system components broadly ☐ Answers all of the system components concisely and displays substantial depth.
Sub-Area Knowledge	□ Response is seriously flawed: □ Does not demonstrate critical thinking skills as it pertains to the selected sub-area, □ Presents substantive misstatement of fact that demonstrates clear lack of understanding of relevant concept., □ Response is too broad in scope, and/or □ Fails to address the sub-area components of the question.	□ Response is insufficient: □ Demonstrates limited critical thinking skills as it pertains to the selected sub-area, □ Displays a limited understanding of sub-area as it relates to the question, Displays some insight of the sub-area but is less than adequate □ Addresses the sub-area components of the question but lacks depth in several areas.	☐ Responses are adequate: ☐ Demonstrates adequate critical thinking skills as it pertains to the selected sub-area, ☐ Understands the sub-area of knowledge as it relates to the question, Describes some interesting aspects of the sub-area ☐ Addresses the sub-area components of the question with sufficient depth in several areas.	☐ Responses are exceptional: ☐ Demonstrates substantial critical thinking skills as it pertains to the selected sub-area, ☐ Details how the sub-area selected relates to the question, ☐ Describes several integral aspects of the sub-area in detail, and/or ☐ Addresses all of the sub-area components concisely and displays substantial depth.
Citations and Use of Supporting Material	□ Response is seriously flawed: □ Supporting citations are often factually incorrect, absent, or unrelated to the argument/point of view described, □ Cites of supporting arguments do not reflect critical thinking of source author's views (exact reiteration with no link to student's expressed content knowledge), and/or, □ Fails to provide citations or support in answer.	☐ Majority of support insufficient ☐ Citations reveal less than adequate use of supporting materials and knowledge of subject matter, ☐ Supporting citations are not consistently correct or related to the content described, and/or ☐ Citations/quotes of supporting arguments reflect a less than adequate representation of the source material	☐ Majority of support acceptable ☐ Citations reveal adequate use of supporting materials and knowledge of subject matter, ☐ Supporting citations are factually correct but not always related to the content described, and/or ☐ Citations/quotes of supporting arguments reflect an adequate representation of the source material with some paraphrasing and critical thinking skills of the source content.	□ Exceptional use of supporting material □ Citations reveal a detailed knowledge of supporting materials and subject matter, □ Supporting citations are factually correct and strategically related to the content described, and/or □ Citations/quotes of supporting arguments reflect a strong representation of the source material using critical thinking skills to describe source content

Student Name:

Quality of writing is seriously flawed Grammatical and spelling errors make assessment of knowledge difficult to ascertain, Disorganized presentation of content, Lack of transitions from one concept to the next	□ Writing is inadequate □ Numerous grammatical and spelling errors that distract from assessment of knowledge □ Organization is confusing and inconsistent □ Provides some transitions between concepts but many areas of disjointed connections are apparent	☐ Writing is adequate ☐ Some grammatical and spelling errors but still able to assess the knowledge of the responses, ☐ Adequate organization ☐ Provides sufficient transitions from one concept to the next but some areas of disjointed connections are apparent	☐ Writing is publication quality ☐ Little-to-no grammatical and spelling errors ☐ Exceptional organization ☐ Provides transition from one concept to the next and provides a consistent flow of the questions components.
Overall		☐ Meets or exceeds expectations - score = 10-1	5 (Pass)
Committee Member Signature	Dat	e:	