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ABSTRACT: Reactions of Os$_3$(CO)$_{10}$(NCMe)$_2$, 1, with the series of aryl-gold complexes ArylAu(PPh$_3$)$_2$ [Aryl = phenyl = Ph, 2-naphthyl = 2-Np (2-C$_{10}$H$_7$) and 1-pyryl (1-C$_{16}$H$_{10}$)] have provided the series of electronically unsaturated triosmium complexes Os$_3$(CO)$_{10}$(μ-η$^1$-Ar)(μ-AuPPh$_3$)$_2$, Ar = phenyl = Ph; 3, Ar = 2-naphthyl = 2-Np (2-C$_{10}$H$_7$); and Ar = 4 and 5, 2-pyryl and 4-pyryl, containing bridging η$^1$-Ar ligands and a bridging Au(PPh$_3$)$_2$ group that bridges the same unsaturated Os–Os bond in the 46-electron cluster complex. All new compounds were characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. A DFT computational analysis of 2 has revealed that bonding of the bridging phenyl ligand to the metal atoms consists of a combination of delocalized σ-bonding between the ipso-carbon atom and the two proximate metal atoms and π-donation from the π-orbitals of the ring to those same metal atoms. There is no significant metal to ring π-back-bonding. Compound 3 exists as two isomers, 3a and 3b. Compound 3a contains a μ-η$^1$-2-Np ligand. Compound 3b contains a μ-η$^2$-2-Np ligand. The pyryl complexes 4 and 5 also exist as two isomers. These differ by the point of attachment of the η$^1$-Ar ligand to the metal atoms. When heated to reflux in an octane solution (125 °C), compounds 2, 3, 4, and 5 were decarbonylated and converted to the corresponding aryne complexes Os$_3$(CO)$_9$(μ$_3$-Ar)(μ-AuPPh$_3$)(μ-H)$_2$, 6–9, which contain a triply bridging aryne ligand formed by the loss of one CO ligand from the complex and by a CH cleavage on the bridging Ar ligand. A mechanism for the transformation of 3b into the naphthyne complex 7 was established by DFT computational analyses.

INTRODUCTION

Metal complexes containing aryl ligands are of great interest as intermediates for a variety of important catalytic reactions, especially cross-couplings, direct arylations, and hydroarylations. Aryl ligands in copper-based complexes generally adopt bridging coordination modes. There are a number of reports of bridging aryl ligands among the polynuclear transition metal carbonyl complexes. In most cases, η$^1$-bridging aryl ligands bridge two similar metal atoms in a symmetrical fashion. η$^1$-Bridging aryl ligands bridging heteronuclear pairs of metal atoms are often coordinated asymmetrically. We have chosen to describe these asymmetrical η$^1$-bridging aryl ligands as semibridging ligands. η$^1$-Bridging aryl ligands serve as one-electron donors. There are also a few reported examples of η$^2$-bridging aryl ligands. These ligands are generally regarded as three-electron donors.

The activation of CH bonds in aromatic compounds is of great interest. Johnson and Lewis showed that the trisomium carbonyl complex Os$_3$(CO)$_{10}$(NCMe)$_2$, 1, reacts with arenes by cleavage of two adjacent CH bonds to yield the complexes Os$_3$(CO)$_{10}$(μ$_3$-C$_6$H$_3$R$_2$R$^2$)(μ-H)$_2$ (R$_1$, R$^2$ = H, H; Me; H, Pr; H, CHCH$_2$Ph; H, Cl; Me, Me), which contain a triply bridging aryne ligand. There is considerable interest in the coordination and reactivity of aryne ligands in polynuclear metal complexes. Very little has been done to establish the mechanism(s) of the CH activation step(s) in the formation of these aryne compounds. Johnson et al. did show that the trisomium aryne ligand C$_6$H$_3$ ligand in the complex Os$_3$(CO)$_{9}$(μ$_3$-C$_6$H$_3$) could be
converted into a benzyne ligand in the complex Os\(_3\)(CO)\(_9\)(μ-2-C\(_6\)H\(_4\))(μ-H)\(_2\) under the influence of UV–vis irradiation.\(^{11}\)

The electronic similarities between H and the Au(PPh\(_3\)) group are well known.\(^{12}\) We have recently initiated studies of the reactions of C\(_6\)H\(_4\)Au(PPh\(_3\)) with polynuclear metal carbonyl complexes in the hopes of learning more about the nature of CH activation processes as they might relate to those in C\(_6\)H\(_4\).\(^{13,14}\) In this work, we have prepared the first examples of electronically unsaturated triosmium carbonyl complexes that contain \(\eta^1\)-bridging aryl ligands via reactions of 1 with a series of aryl-gold complexes Ar\(_x\)AuPPh\(_3\) [Ar = phenyl = Ph, 1-naphthyl = 1-Np (1-C\(_6\)H\(_4\))- and 1-phenyl (1-C\(_6\)H\(_4\))-]. Unsaturation of metal carbonyl cluster complexes are of interest because they exhibit higher reactivity than their electronically saturated counterparts.\(^{15}\) We have recently shown that these bridging aryl ligands undergo hindered rotation about the metal–metal bond.\(^{16}\) The bridging aryl groups in these complexes can be converted into triply bridging arylene ligands by thermal decarbonylations that involve CH activation on the aryl ring systems. A preliminary report of this work has been published.\(^{14}\)

### Experimental Details

**General Data.** Reagent grade solvents were dried by the standard procedures and were freshly distilled for use. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Thermo Nicolet Avatar 360 FT–IR spectrophotometer. Room-temperature \(^1\)H NMR and \(^31\)P\(^{\text{[1]}\text{H}}\) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance/DRX 400 NMR spectrometer operating at 400.3 and 162.0 MHz, respectively. Positive/negative ion mass spectra were recorded on a Micromass Q-TOF instrument by using electrospray (ES) ionization. UV–vis absorption spectra were recorded on a JASCO Corp. UV-530, Rev. 1.00, spectrometer/data system. Os\(_3\)(CO)\(_9\)(NCMe)\(_2\), \(^{17}\)PhAuPPh\(_3\), \(^{18}\)2-NpAuPPh\(_3\), \(^{19}\)and 1-PyrylAuPPh\(_3\) were prepared according to previously reported procedures. Product separations were performed by TLC in air on Analtech 0.25 mm silica gel 60 Å F254 glass plates. Due to the small amounts of products, microanalytical data were not obtained. 

**Synthesis of Os\(_3\)(CO)(μ-2-C\(_6\)H\(_4\))(μ-AuPPh\(_3\)).** A 20.6 mg (0.022 mmol) amount of 1 was added to a 100 mL three-neck flask with a solution of 15.5 mg (0.029 mmol) of PhAuPPh\(_3\) in 30 mL of methylene chloride. After heating to reflux for 6 h, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the product was then isolated by chromatography on a JASCO Corp. 50 mL three-neck flask packed with 1.5 g of Kieselgel 60 Å, using a 1:1 hexane/methylene chloride solvent mixture. The yield was 19.6 mg (80.6% yield) and \(^{135}\)P\(^{\text{[1]}\text{H}}\) NMR (CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), \(δ = 56.04\), \(ε = 9912\) M\(^−1\) cm\(^−1\)).
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Evaporation of solvent from a solution in pure hexane at 25 °C was suitable for X-ray diffraction analyses were all obtained by slow evaporation of solvent from a solution in pure hexane at 25 °C. Red crystals of 3b, black crystals of 5, red crystals of 8, and orange crystals of 9 were obtained by cooling a solution of the pure compound in hexane solvent to −25 °C. X-ray intensity data were measured by using a Bruker SMART APEX CCD-based diffractometer with Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The raw data frames were integrated with the SÁINT+ program by using a narrow-frame integration algorithm. Corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects were also applied by using SÁINT+.

Empirical absorption corrections based on the multiple measurement of no frozen cores. The molecular orbitals for the complete molecule minus the phenyl group. The MOs of the fragments were then calculated by using the same basis sets as described above.

For the calculations of 3b, geometry-optimized calculations were performed with the ADF2012 program24 by using the PBESol functional24 with scalar relativistic correction and valence quadruple-zeta function (DZ) basis sets for the phosphorus, carbon, oxygen, and hydrogen atoms with no frozen cores. The molecular orbitals for 2 and their energies were determined by geometry-optimized calculations that were initiated with the structures as determined from the crystal structure analyses. The fragment analysis for 2 was also performed with the ADF programs by using the meta-generalized gradient approximation (meta-GGA) level of nonempirical Tao–Perdew–Staroverov–Scuseria (TPSS) functional. The phenyl group was used as one fragment, and the other fragment was the HOMO minus the phenyl group. The MOs of the fragments were then calculated by using the same basis sets as described above.

For the calculations of 3b, geometry-optimized calculations were performed with the ADF2012 program24 by using the PBESol functional with scalar relativistic correction and valence triple-zeta function (TZP) basis sets, with small frozen cores. The molecular orbitals and their energies were determined by geometry optimization calculations that were initiated with the structures as determined from the crystal structure analyses, except for 3b, where PMe3 was substituted for the PPh3 ligand to simplify these calculations.
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18 of 2, which can be viewed as π-donation from the ring to the cluster. This π-donation from the ring helps to reduce the electronic unsaturation in 2. Such π-donation is not available to molecules such as Os₅(CO)₁₀(μ-H)₂, and this helps to explain why the Os–Os bond distance in 2 is significantly longer than that in Os₅(CO)₁₀(μ-H)₂. Our calculations revealed no significant bonding interactions between the metal atoms and the unoccupied π-orbitals of the phenyl ring; that is, there was no significant π-back-bonding to the ring. One reason for this is because the ring π*-orbitals lie at too high an energy. For example, the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the ring lies at −1.59 eV; see Figure 3.

The reaction of 1 with 2-NpAu(PPh₃) yielded the complex Os₅(CO)₁₀(μ-2-Np)(μ-AuPPh₃), 3, in 58% yield. Unlike 2, solutions of 3 are pink. However, crystals grown from hexane solutions at room temperature were green and similar in color to those obtained for 2. Most interestingly, crystals of 3 grown from hexane at −25 °C were pink in color. Hereafter the green crystals shall be called 3a and the pink ones 3b, because they are isomers; see below. The molecular structures of 3a and 3b were established crystallographically.

An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of 3a is shown in Figure 4. The structure of 3a is similar to that of 2 except that it contains an η¹-bridging phenyl ligand that bridges the AuPPh₃-bridged Os–Os bond, Os₁–C₁ = 2.315(11) Å and Os₂–C₁ = 2.332(11) Å. As in 2, the plane of the C₁₀-ring is virtually perpendicular to the plane of the Os₃ triangle. Assuming the 2-Np ligand and the AuPPh₃ group serve as one-electron donors, then compound 3a contains 46 electrons and is unsaturated just like 2. Accordingly, the Os₁–Os₂ bond is short, 2.7484(6) Å, compared to the other Os–Os bonds: Os₁–Os₃ = 2.8745(6) Å; Os₂–Os₃ = 2.8668(6) Å.

Figure 2. Selected molecular orbital diagrams of the LUMO, HOMO, HOMO−3, HOMO−5, HOMO−6, HOMO−14, and HOMO−18 with calculated energies showing the bonding of the η¹-bridging phenyl ligand to the osmium atoms in 2.

Figure 3. An energy level diagram of the molecular orbitals with calculated energies from fragment analysis showing the origin of the MOs in Figure 2 for compound 2.
An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of Os₃(CO)₁₀(μ₂-2-Np)(μ-AuPPh₃), 3a, obtained from the green crystals showing 30% thermal ellipsoid probability. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic bond distances (Å) are as follows: Os1–Os2 = 2.7484(6), Os1–Os3 = 2.8745(6), Os2–Os3 = 2.8668(6), Au1–Os1 = 2.7424(6), Au1–Os2 = 2.7772(6), Os1–C1 = 2.313(11), Os2–C1 = 2.332(11).

Figure 4. ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of Os₃(CO)₁₀(μ₂-2-Np)(μ-AuPPh₃), 3a, obtained from the green crystals showing 30% thermal ellipsoid probability. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic bond distances (Å) are as follows: Os1–Os2 = 2.7484(6), Os1–Os3 = 2.8745(6), Os2–Os3 = 2.8668(6), Au1–Os1 = 2.7424(6), Au1–Os2 = 2.7772(6), Os1–C1 = 2.313(11), Os2–C1 = 2.332(11).

An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of 3b is shown in Figure 5. This structure is an isomer of 3a in that it contains an η¹-2-Np ligand that bridges the AuPPh₃-bridged Os–Os bond. The naphthyl atom C(1) is bonded to both osmium atoms, Os1–C1 = 2.313(11) Å and Os2–C1 = 2.332(11) Å, but the naphthyl atom C(6) is also bonded to Os(2), Os2–C6 = 2.544(10) Å, although the distance is significantly longer. As a result, the plane of the planar C₁₀-ring is not perpendicular to the Os₃ triangle. The plane is actually 49.3(3)° from the plane of the Os₃ triangle. The C₁–C₆ distance is 1.380(14) Å. In this molecule the 2-Np ligand serves as a three-electron donor of the type C.

The AuPPh₃ group serves as a one-electron donor, and the osmium atoms in the pink isomer 3b contain a total of 48 electrons. The triosmium cluster is electronically saturated, and as a result, there is no unusually short Os–Os bond in 3b. The doubly bridged Os1–Os2 bond, 2.8538(6) Å, is nearly as long as the other two Os–Os bonds: Os1–Os3 = 2.8997(6) Å and Os2–Os3 = 2.8899(7) Å. Because the complex is electronically saturated, the HOMO/LUMO gap in 3b is larger than that in 2 and the absorption in the visible spectrum lies at higher energy, λ = 518 nm, ε = 3009 cm⁻¹ M⁻¹, which accounts for its pink color in solution. The structure 3b evidently converts to 3a when crystals are grown at room temperature. We have not yet obtained any spectroscopic evidence for the presence of the 3a isomer in solutions at room temperature.

The reaction of 1 with 1-PyrylAu(PPh₃) provided the product Os₃(CO)₁₀(μ₂-2-Pyryl)(μ-AuPPh₃), 4, in 61% yield. Like 2, the color of 4 is green in solution. Interestingly, however, there was also a second product, Os₃(CO)₁₀(μ₂-4-Pyryl)(μ-AuPPh₃), 5, formed in low yield (8%), which is brown. The molecular structures of 4 and 5 were both established by crystallographic methods.

An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of 4 is shown in Figure 6. The structure of 4 is similar to that of 2 and 3a except that it contains an η¹-2-Pyryl ligand that bridges the AuPPh₃-bridged Os–Os bond: Os1–C35 = 2.291(12) Å and Os2–C35 = 2.345(13).
2.345(13) Å. Similarly to 2 and 3a, the plane of the C_{16} ring is virtually perpendicular to the plane of the Os_3 triangle. The interplanar angle is 68.9(1)°. If the 2-Pyrryl ligand and the AuPPh_3 group serve as one-electron donors, then compound 4 contains a total of 46 valence electrons and is unsaturated just like 2 and 3a. Accordingly, the Os1–Os2 bond is short, 2.7485(7) Å, compared to the other Os–Os bonds, Os1–Os3 = 2.8980(7) Å and Os2–Os3 = 2.8863(7) Å.

An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of 5 is shown in Figure 7. This compound is an isomer of 4, and it contains an η^1-

4-Pyrryl ligand that bridges the AuPPh_3-bridged Os–Os bond, Os1–C47 = 2.247(17) Å and Os2–C47 = 2.364(18) Å. In 5, the plane of the planar C_{16} ring is not exactly perpendicular to the Os_3 triangle. The interplanar angle is 75.3(1)°. The η^1-4-Pyrryl ligand and the AuPPh_3 group in 5 both serve as a one-electron donor, and therefore the metal atoms in 5 contain a total of 46 electrons, indicating that it is also unsaturated like 2, 3a, and 4. The unsaturated, η^1-4-Pyrryl, doubly bridged Os1–Os2 bond, 2.7789(10) Å, is slightly longer than the η^2-2-Pyrryl-bridged bond found in 4, and Os1–Os3 = 2.9313(12) Å and Os2–Os3 = 2.8897(11) Å. Interestingly, by following the ^1H NMR spectra, we found that compound 4 can be partially converted to compound 5 by heating to 40 °C in a solution in CH_2Cl_2 solvent for 48 h. We have not yet established a mechanism for the hydrogen shift for the transformation of 4 and 5.

When compound 2 was heated to reflux in a solution of octane solvent (125 °C), it was decarbonylated and transformed into the compound Os_3(CO)_6(μ_2-C_6H_4)(μ-AuPPh_3)(μ-H), 6, in 94% yield. Compound 6 was characterized crystallographically, and an ORTEP diagram of its molecular structure is shown in Figure 8. Compound 6 contains a triply bridging C_6H_4 “benzylene” ligand. The structure of 6 is similar to that of Os_3(CO)_6(μ_2-C_6H_4)(μ-H)_2 except for the presence of the bridging AuPPh_3 in place of one of the bridging hydride ligands. The benzene C–C bond distance, C1–C2 = 1.429(14) Å, is typical of those observed for other benzene ligands, e.g., 1.45(5) and 1.64(6) Å for Os_3(CO)_6(μ_2-C_6H_4)(μ-H)_2. Compound 6 was formed by the loss of a CO ligand from the Os(CO)_4 group in 2 and the activation of one of the ortho-positioned CH bonds in the bridging phenyl ligand. The benzylene ligand serves as a four-electron donor, and the complex is electronically saturated with a total of 48 valence electrons at the metal atoms.

When a solution of 3 was heated to reflux in octane solvent, it was decarbonylated and transformed into the compound Os_3(CO)_6(μ_2-C_6H_4)(μ-AuPPh_3)(μ-H), 7, in 63% yield. Compound 7 was also characterized crystallographically, and an ORTEP diagram of its molecular structure is shown in Figure 9. The structure of 7 is similar to that of 6. Compound 7 contains a triply bridging 1,2-naphthyne ligand. Complexes containing naphthyne ligands are exceedingly rare. The naphthyne C–C bond distance (C1–C6 = 1.435(16) Å) is similar in length to that found in the benzene ligand in 6. The Au- and H-bridged bonds (Os1–Os2 = 2.8950(6) Å, Os1–Os3 = 2.9882(7) Å) are longer than the remaining Os–Os bond (Os2–Os3 = 2.7494(6) Å). The naphthyne ligand in 7 is a four-electron donor. The metal atoms thus contain a total of 48 electrons and are electronically saturated.

DFT computational analyses were performed in order to establish the mechanism of the naphthyne formation and the C–H activation steps. The calculation was initiated by removing one of the axial CO ligands cis to the napthyl ligand from the Os(CO)_4 group in 3b. Removal of the other CO ligands did not proceed to the observed product 7 with our optimization refinements. This will be called the CO dissociation mechanism, mechanism 1. Intermediate and ground-state structures were determined by using full geometry optimization. The approximate transition states were computed as maxima of total energy along the reaction coordinate with full geometry optimization of all other coordinates. The energy of the decarbonylated form of 3b lies about +52 kcal/mol above the ground state 3b, as shown in the energy profile in Figure 10. This is due to the generation of a vacant site on Os3. Although this may seem high, thermochemical measurements have shown the Os–CO bond strength in Os_3(CO)_12 is approximately 201 kJ/mol (48 kcal/ mol). We think that the Os–CO bond strengths in 3b should be similar to those in Os_3(CO)_12 and thus support our calculated results.

As the refinement starts, the naphthyl group moves toward Os3, which contains the vacant binding site. The intermediate 7 is formed. In this intermediate, there is an agostic interaction between the ortho-CH bond in the naphthyl group and Os3. The computed structure of this intermediate is shown in Figure 11 (left). The bond distances, Os3–C6 = 2.37 Å, Os3–H6 = 1.82 Å, and C3–H6 = 1.21 Å, indicate that there are significant Os–C and Os–H interactions and the CH bond is weakened.

The HOMO–1 of this intermediate shows the CH–Os interaction. Since the vacant site has been filled by the two electrons from the CH bond, the energy dropped from +52 kcal/mol above the ground state to +21.42 kcal/mol above the ground state. As the process continues, the CH bond in the intermediate is cleaved via a small transition state (TS), which contains a terminally coordinated hydride ligand. The TS is located by a geometry scan from the final product 7 to the intermediate, by using the C6...H6 distance as a reaction (scan) coordinate, and fully optimizing all other coordinates. The energy profile along the scan coordinate shows a single well-defined maximum, which
is called the TS. The geometry of this TS is shown in Figure 12. The distance between carbon and hydrogen atoms, C6···H6 = 2.06 Å, clearly indicates that the CH bond has been cleaved.

Figure 8. ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of Os3(CO)9(μ-3-[C6H4])(μ-AuPPh3)(μ-H), 6, showing 30% thermal ellipsoid probability. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic bond distances (Å) are as follows: Os1-Os2 = 2.8902(6), Os1-Os3 = 3.0229(7), Os2-Os3 = 2.7560(6), Au1-Os1 = 2.7507(6), Au1-Os2 = 2.8131(6), Os1-C1 = 2.085(10), Os3-C6 = 2.097(10), Os2-C1 = 2.285(10), Os2-C6 = 2.374(10), Os1-H1 = 1.69(9), Os3-H1 = 1.99(9), C1-C6 = 1.429(14).

Figure 9. ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of Os3(CO)10(μ-1,2-η2-C10H6)(μ-AuPPh3)(μ-H), 7, showing 30% thermal ellipsoid probability. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected interatomic bond distances (Å) are as follows: Os1-Os2 = 2.8950(6), Os1-Os3 = 2.9882(7), Os2-Os3 = 2.7494(6), Os1-C1 = 2.123(11), Os2-C1 = 2.298(11), Os2-C6 = 2.370(12), Os1-Au1 = 2.7395(7), Os2-Au1 = 2.7923(7), Os3-C6 = 2.085(12), Au1-P1 = 2.284(3), C1-C6 = 1.435(16).

Figure 10. Calculated energy profile showing the decarbonylation and CH activation in the transformation of the naphthyl ligand in 3b into the naphthyne ligand in 7, mechanism 1.

The HOMO of TS shows hydrogen–osmium bonding. The hydride ligand then shifts to a bridging position across the neighboring metal–metal bond to complete the transformation to 7. A complete energy profile along the computed reaction coordinate is shown in Figure 10. The ground state of the naphthyne complex is +3.9 kcal/mol higher than that of 3b. This is mainly because 3b contains one more carbonyl ligand to stabilize it.

An alternative mechanism was also investigated by performing a scan of the naphthyl carbon–Os(3) distance from its equilibrium value of 3.8 Å down to 1.8 Å, with optimization of all other coordinates, and without removing a CO ligand in advance; see Scheme 1. This mechanism will be called the metal–metal bond-opening mechanism 2. The transition state TS1 was formed (+47.5 kcal), which contained an agostic interaction of the ortho-positioned CH bond to Os(3).

In the process one of the CO ligands on Os(3) was shifted into a bridging position between atoms Os(2) and Os(3). This structure subsequently settled into a stable intermediate (II)
(+38.8 kcal) by shifting the bridging CO ligand to a terminal position on Os(2) and cleaving the Os(2)–Os(3) bond. Simultaneously, the agostic CH bond on the naphthyl ligand was cleaved to form a naphthyl ligand and a terminal hydride ligand. To complete the transformation to 7, one of the CO ligands on Os2 had to be forcibly removed by scanning the OC–
Os bond distance from 1.97 to 4.0 Å. In this process a second transition state, TS2, was traversed (+64.7 kcal/mol), which finally led to 7 by formation of a metal–metal bond between Os(2) and Os(3) and a shift of the hydride ligand to a bridging position. Although the bond-opening mechanism ultimately produces the correct product, 7, the barrier of +64.7 kcal/mol is significantly higher than +52 kcal of the original reaction mechanism 1, and for this reason mechanism 1 is considered to be the most likely mechanism.

When a solution of the 2-pyryl complex 4 was heated to reflux in octane solvent, it was decarbonylated and transformed into two new compounds, 8 and 9, in a combined yield of 87%. Compounds 8 and 9 are isomers, and they are difficult to separate in pure forms. The ratio of compound 8 and 9 in the initial mixture was 43/57 based on the integration of the corresponding hydride peaks in a 1H NMR spectrum. However, they were both crystallized in pure forms and were both characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of 8 is shown in Figure 14.

The reaction coordinate of 8 is similar to that of 6 and 7, except that it contains a triplet bridging 1,2-pyryl ligand coordinated to the cluster. The pyryl C–C bond distance (C35–C36 = 1.435(12) Å) is similar in length to that found for the benzene and naphthyne ligands found in 6 and 7, respectively. The Au- and H-bridged bonds (Os1–Os2 = 2.8799(5) Å, Os1–Os3 = 3.0044(5) Å) are longer than the third Os–Os bond (Os2–Os3 = 2.7525(5) Å). The pyryl ligand in 8 is a four-electron donor, and the metal atoms thus contain a total of 48 electrons and are electronically saturated. An ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of 8 is shown in Figure 15.

The pyryl ligand in 9 is coordinated to the cluster at its 4 and 5 positions, which is similar to that of a previously reported pyryl compound, Os₃(CO)₉(μ₃-4,5-C₅H₄N)(μ-H)₂, except for the presence of the bridging AuPPh₃ in place of one of the bridging hydride ligands. The pyryl C–C bond distance (C46–C47 = 1.4191(9) Å) is similar in length to that found in the aryl ligand in 6, 7, and 8. The Au- and H-bridged bonds (Os1–Os2 = 2.8777(4) Å, Os1–Os3 = 2.9702(4) Å) are longer than the remaining Os–Os bond (Os2–Os3 = 2.7634(4) Å). The pyryl ligand in 9 is also a four-electron donor, and the metal atoms thus contain a total of 48 electrons and are electronically saturated.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

It has been shown in this work that the reagents ArylAu(PPh₃) (Aryl = phenyl, 2-naphthyl, and 1-pyryl) react with 1 by the loss of the two NCMe ligands from 1 and the oxidation-addition of the Au–C bond to yield the first examples of unsaturated metal carbonyl cluster complexes, 2, 3a, 4, and 5, containing η¹-bridging phenyl, η¹-bridging naphthyl, and η¹-bridging pyryl ligands, respectively. The 2-naphthyl ligand in 3b coordinates to the metal cluster in a η¹-bridging mode. The η¹-bridging naphthyl in 3b serves as a three-electron donor; thus the metal atoms in this complex are electronically saturated. Two isomers, 4 and 5, were obtained in the reaction of 1 with 1-PryylAu(PPh₃). A DFT computational analysis has revealed that bonding of the bridging phenyl ligand to the metal atoms in 2 consists of a combination of delocalized σ-bonding between the ipso-carbon atom and the two proximate metal atoms and π-donation from the π-orbitals of the ring to those same metal atoms. There is no significant metal to ring π-back-bonding. It has been demonstrated that the bridging aryl ligands can be converted into triply bridging aryl ligands in good yields by thermal decarbonylation reactions via the activation of a neighboring CH bond on the aryl ring. Efforts to establish the nature of the transformation from 3b to 7 by computational methods have provided a mechanism predicated on elimination of CO at the Os(CO)₄ group in the cluster.
followed by CH bond cleavage at that metal atom. It is anticipated that other unsaturated polynuclear metal carbonyl cluster complexes containing bridging aryl ligands will be prepared in the future, and these may also exhibit interesting reactivity.
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Figure 15. ORTEP diagram of the molecular structure of Os₅(CO)₀(μ₉-4,5-pyryne)(μ-AuPPh₃)(μ-H), 9, showing 30% thermal ellipsoid probability. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
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