## TITLE:

## Educating Veterinary Students in an Intensive Care Unit about Transfusion Reactions: Development and Impact of a Formal Learning Module

**AUTHORS:** - with affiliation(s)

<u>Jillian M. Haines, DVM, MS, DACVIM<sup>1</sup></u>, K. Jane Wardrop, DVM, MS, DACVP<sup>1</sup>, Christopher J. Lindberg, BS<sup>2</sup>, Kira J. Carbonneau, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Thandeka R. Ngwenyama, DVM, DACVECC<sup>1</sup>, Linda G. Martin, DVM, MS, DACVECC<sup>1</sup>

- 1 Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Washington State University, Pullman, WA
- 2 Oregon State University Extended Campus, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR
- 3 Department of Educational Leadership, Sports Sciences, and Educational Psychology, College of Education, Washington State University, Pullman, WA

**ABSTRACT:** 300 word limit (when applicable, please include: purpose & background, methods, results, conclusions/discussion)

*Background:* Lack of physician education in transfusion medicine has been shown to lead to inappropriate blood product use and increased incidence of reactions. This is also a concern in veterinary medicine.

*Purpose*: To develop and test the instructional efficacy of an online learning module on transfusion reactions in small animals and to evaluate participants' satisfaction of the module. *Design*: Randomized controlled trial.

*Subjects*: Fifty-five 4<sup>th</sup> year veterinary students at a university teaching hospital, 27 in a treatment group that received the learning module plus conventional rotation training and 28 in a control group who received only conventional training (no module).

*Methods*: Students received a pretest on transfusion reactions followed by a transfusion reaction learning module covering recognition, treatment, prevention, case examples, and self-assessment questions for 6 common transfusion reactions. Students received a module satisfaction survey, a posttest at 2 weeks post-module, and a retention test at 6 weeks post-module.

*Measurements and Main Results:* Previous transfusion medicine exposure did not affect pretest scores. The module group scored significantly higher on the posttest (p < 0.001) and retention test (p = 0.002) than the control group. Mean post test scores were 74.4% and 57.7% and mean retention test scores were 80.6% and 56.5% for the module and control groups respectively. The module group scored higher on posttest and retention questions involving reaction recognition (p < 0.001). Students were overall very satisfied with the module with an average score of 4.8 (1-5).

*Conclusions*: Though tested within a limited setting, an instructional module was delivered successfully to students on a clinical rotation with students taking the module scoring significantly better with longer retention of material as compared to students receiving only conventional training. The addition of a training module to rotation training can improve overall knowledge of transfusion reactions.