**Listeria monocytogenes** in Almond Meal: Desiccation Stability and Isothermal Inactivation
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Almond are among the most consumed tree nuts and used in a variety of food products. Recent almond butter recalls due to potential contamination of **Listeria monocytogenes** in almond products. The objectives of this study were to examine the stability of **L. monocytogenes** in almond meal during extended storage and analyze thermal resistance of **L. monocytogenes** in almond meal of controlled moisture contents or water activity (a_w) using thermal death time (TDT) cells and thermal water activity (TWA) cells, respectively. **L. monocytogenes** maintained a stable population in almond meal for 44–48 weeks at 4°C regardless of a_w; however, we observed about 1.69 and 2.14 log_{10} colony-forming units (CFU)/g reduction of **L. monocytogenes** in a_w 0.25 and 0.45 almond meal over 44 to 48 weeks of storage at 22°C. Under all test conditions using either TDT or TWA cells, the inactivation kinetics of **L. monocytogenes** in almond meal fitted the log-linear model well; thermal resistance of **L. monocytogenes** in almond meal was inversely related to the a_w of samples. 

D_{75}/D_{80}-values of **L. monocytogenes** in a_w 0.25 and 0.45 almond meal obtained using TDT cells were 47.6/22.0 versus 17.2/11.0 min, respectively. D_{80}, D_{85}, and D_{90}-values of **L. monocytogenes** in a_w 0.25 almond meal obtained using TWA cells were 59.5 ± 2.1, 27.7 ± 0.7, and 13.2 ± 1.1 min, respectively, in contrast to 22.0 ± 1.1, 10.6 ± 0.2, and 4.6 ± 0.4 min obtained using TDT cells. The z-value of **L. monocytogenes** in a_w 0.25 almond meal was not affected by TWA and TDT cell type (15.4–15.5°C), whereas z-value of **L. monocytogenes** in a_w 0.45 almond meal was 10°C higher than that in a_w 0.25 almond meal. This study contributes to our understanding of **L. monocytogenes** in nuts and impacts of a_w on the development of thermal resistance in low-moisture foods.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Low-moisture foods, also called low water activity (a_w) foods (La_wF), have been implicated in numerous foodborne pathogen outbreaks linked to diverse foods including the recent *Escherichia coli* O26 outbreak in wheat flour (CDC, 2019) and nationwide *Salmonella* outbreaks related to almonds (CDC, 2004), peanut butter, and its products (CDC, 2009). Despite the increasing foodborne outbreaks associated with La_wF, there is a general lack of
knowledge related to the behavior of *Listeria monocytogenes* in L<sub>ω</sub>F especially in nuts during thermal treatment.

*Listeria monocytogenes* is an important foodborne pathogen with a 20 to 30% mortality rate (Buchanan et al., 2017). Listeriosis outbreaks have historically been involved in ready-to-eat meats (CDC, 1999, 2000; Gottlieb et al., 2006), frequently linked to soft-cheese (Todd, 2011; FDA, 2017a), and recently implicated in fresh produce outbreaks such as cantaloupes (CDC, 2011), caramel apples (CDC, 2015), frozen vegetables (CDC, 2016), and mushrooms (CDC, 2020). *L. monocytogenes* was found in flour and dried nuts and seeds (Mena et al., 2004) and buckwheat flour (Losio et al., 2017). *L. monocytogenes* remained stable in dry almond kernels or shelled pistachios (Kimber et al., 2012), powdered infant formula (Koseki et al., 2015), and non-fat dry milk (NFDM) powder (Ballom et al., 2020) during 1-year cold storage.

Almonds are one of the most consumed tree nuts with high nutritional values. Dietary almond intakes are beneficial in controlling glycemia, adiposity, and lipid profile (Jenkins et al., 2006; Li et al., 2011). The United States is the largest producer of almonds, accounting for ~80% of almonds around the world (Perez and Pollack, 2005). Almond meal is a common ingredient used in a variety of food products. In commercial practices, raw almonds or almond meal are often kept for 1 year or longer, depending on storage temperatures. However, foodborne pathogens such as *Salmonella*, *L. monocytogenes*, and *E. coli* O157:H7 can survive in almond kernels and almond meal (Kimber et al., 2012; Cheng and Wang, 2018) over 1-year storage at over a wide range of temperatures. Recent recalls associated with almond butter (FDA, 2018) and roasted unsalted almonds (FDA, 2017c) due to potential contamination with *L. monocytogenes* heighten a need to control *L. monocytogenes* in almond and low-moisture almond products. However, no information about thermal resistance of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal is available.

The L<sub>ω</sub> of a food system is thermodynamic. The L<sub>ω</sub> of food products changes during heating in sealed containers; the degree of such change depends on the food composition; and initial L<sub>ω</sub> (Labuz, 1968; Syamaladevi et al., 2016; Tadapaneni et al., 2017). To maintain a constant L<sub>ω</sub> during heating, the thermal water activity (TWA) cells were recently designed by Washington State University, where the L<sub>ω</sub> of treatment samples within the test cell microenvironment was controlled by a LiCl solution with a specific molarity (Tadapaneni et al., 2018). This study was to evaluate the thermal resistance of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal in sealed thermal death time (TDT) cells, in which the moisture content of foods is maintained constant, whereas the L<sub>ω</sub> of foods changes in response to heat treatment. Survival of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal was further tested under constant L<sub>ω</sub> using TWA cells. In addition, the fates of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal during 44–48 weeks storage at different temperatures under controlled L<sub>ω</sub> were examined.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Proximate Analyses and Particle Distribution of Almond Meal**

Almond meal was a generous gift from the Almond Board of California (Modesto, CA, United States). Proximate analyses of moisture content, ash content, crude protein, crude fats, and total carbohydrates of almond meal were determined using the standard methods described by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2000). A portion of almond meal was classified into different particle sizes through a set of screens (model 78–700; Fieldmaster, Science First, Yulee, FL, United States).

**Bacterial Strains and Bacterial Lawn Preparation**

Three *L. monocytogenes* serotypes, 1/2a, 1/2b, and 4b, cause the majority of human cases (CDC, 2013). Thus, two *L. monocytogenes* outbreak strains, NRRL B-57618 (1/2a, 2011 cantaloupe outbreak), and NRRL B-33053 (4b, 1983 coleslaw outbreak), and one processing plant *L. monocytogenes* isolate, NRRL B-33466 (1/2b), were used to prepare a three-strain cocktail. All strains were maintained at −80°C in trypticase soy broth [Becton, Dickinson and Company (BD), Sparks, MD, United States] supplied with 0.6% yeast extract (Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ, United States) (TSBYE) and 20% (vol/vol) glycerol in a biosafety level 2 (BSL-2) microbiology laboratory. Lawn grown of each *L. monocytogenes* on trypticase soy agar with 0.6% yeast extract (TSAYE) plates was collected and mixed in equal proportions to prepare the three-strain cocktail (Taylor et al., 2018; Tsai et al., 2019b).

The inoculum preparation, almond meal inoculation and equilibration, isothermal inactivation, and long-term storage studies were all conducted in a BSL-2 microbiology laboratory.

**Inoculation and Equilibration**

One hundred grams of almond meal was inoculated with 1.0 mL of a three-strain *L. monocytogenes* cocktail (~1 × 10<sup>11</sup> colony-forming units (CFU)/mL) inside a stomacher bag (Fisher Scientific) and hand mixed, then stomached for 2 min. For each inoculation batch, the bacterial populations of three 1.0-g inoculated almond meal samples were randomly sampled, serially diluted, plated on TSAYE plates in duplicate, incubated at 35 ± 2°C for 48 h, then enumerated to verify the uniformity of inoculum distribution and initial inoculation level (~1 × 10<sup>9</sup> CFU/g).

Inoculated almond meal was partitioned into two 150-mm Petri dishes (Fisher Scientific), 50 g per Petri dish. Samples were placed into an L<sub>ω</sub> equilibration chamber (custom-designed at Michigan State University) (Smith et al., 2016) set at target L<sub>ω</sub> (0.25 and 0.45) and equilibrated for a minimum of 4 days at 22°C to the target L<sub>ω</sub> ± 0.02. The L<sub>ω</sub> of the respective almond meal samples was monitored in triplicate by an Aquameter (Aqualab Series 3; Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA, United States).
Samples were used for thermal inactivation after reaching the target $a_w \pm 0.02$.

**Isothermal Treatment TDT and TWA Cells**

The isothermal treatments of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal of the selected $a_w$ were first conducted using the aluminum TDT cells that was designed at Washington State University (Chung et al., 2008) mimicking the commercial heat treatment in a sealed heating unit. In the sealed TDT cells, the moisture content of foods is maintained constant during heat treatment, whereas the $a_w$ of foods subjected to dynamic changes in response to heat treatments.

To evaluate the impacts of $a_w$ at treatment temperature on *L. monocytogenes* survival in almond meal, the isothermal inactivation of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal was conducted using our newly designed TWA cells under a constant $a_w$ (Tadapaneni et al., 2018) as described below. A TWA cell consisted of an aluminum lid, an aluminum base, and a rubber O-ring that was tightly fitted into lid and the base of TWA cells to prevent the leakage of moisture during thermal treatments (Figure 4D). The base part of the TWA cell included a central sample loading well and a surrounding LiCl solution of the selected $a_w$. Given that a LiCl solution has a relatively stable $a_w$ during heat treatments, it creates a stable relative humidity environment within the TWA cells to maintain a constant $a_w$ of the food sample during heating.

**Thermal Inactivation Using TDT Cells**

Following the 4-day of equilibration, ~0.60 g of inoculated almond meal was loaded into and sealed in TDT cells. The loaded TDT test cells were subjected to isothermal treatments (70–85°C) in an ethylene glycol bath (Isotemp Heat Bath Circulator, model 5150 H24; Fisher Scientific). The temperature of ethylene glycol bath was calibrated by Omega Precision RTD temperature recorder (OM-CP-RTDTemp2000; Omega Engineering Inc., Norwalk, CT, United States). Loaded TDT cells with T-type thermocouples at the sample geometrical center were used to measure heat penetration and come-up time (CUT). The resulting CUT was 1.5 min, after which heat treatment timing was initiated. For each heat treatment, triplicate samples at each of five time points were withdrawn and immediately chilled in an ice-water bath for ~2.0 min. All tests were conducted in triplicate, and each thermal inactivation was repeated three times independently. For each independent repeat, the equilibrated inoculated almond meal of a select $a_w$ were subjected to isothermal treatments within 7 days.

**Thermal Treatment Using TWA Cells**

Parallel to the tests described above, the inoculated $a_w$ 0.25 almond meal samples were subjected to isothermal treatment at a constant $a_w$ using TWA cells (Tadapaneni et al., 2018). Prior to the tests, ~0.60 g of inoculated almond meal equilibrated at $a_w$ 0.25 was loaded into the center well of a TWA cell. In addition, a 12.86 M LiCl solution, which corresponded to $a_w$ of 0.25 or an equilibrium relative humidity of 25%, was loaded into the surrounding well of the TWA cell. The $a_w$ of the LiCl solution was confirmed using an Aquameter (Aqualab Series 3). The loaded cell was sealed, carefully placed on a horizontal plate, and equilibrated overnight at 22°C. The TWA cells were subjected to isothermal treatments (80–90°C) in an ethylene glycol bath (Fisher Scientific) as described for thermal inactivation with TDT cells. All tests were conducted in triplicate, and each thermal inactivation was repeated three times independently. For each independent repeat, the equilibrated inoculated almond meal of a select $a_w$ were subjected to isothermal treatments within 7 days.

**Enumeration of Background Flora in Almond Meal**

The absence of *L. monocytogenes* in receiving almond meal samples was corroborated per our previous method (Sheng et al., 2019). Briefly, ten 10-g samples were randomly sampled and homogenized in 90 mL buffered *Listeria* enrichment broth (BLEB; BD), non-selectively enriched for 4 h at 30°C, followed by additional 24- to 48-h selective enrichment with 10 mg/L acriflavin (TCI, Portland, OR, United States), 50 mg/L cycloheximide (Amresco, Solon, OH, United States), and 40 mg/L nalidixic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States). The enrichment culture was streaked onto modified Oxford agar (MOX; BD) and incubated at 35°C for 48 h.

For background microflora enumeration, three 1.0-g almond meal samples were randomly sampled and serially diluted. The appropriate serial dilutions were plated on TSAYE plates in duplicate and then incubated at 35 ± 2°C for 24 h before enumeration.

**L. monocytogenes Survival in Almond Meal**

Heat-treated almond meal samples were transferred from TDT or TWA cells to a Whirl-Pak® bag (Nasco, Ft. Atkinson, WI, United States), weighed, and diluted 1:10 with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) and then homogenized for 2 min at 230 revolutions/min in a stomacher (Seward Stomacher® Circulator 400). The recovered bacterial suspensions were 10-fold serially diluted. The appropriate dilutions were plated TSAYE plates in duplicate, which were incubated at 35 ± 1°C for 3 h for the recovery of injured cells and then overlaid with a thin layer of MOX to discern *L. monocytogenes* from resident background microflora (Sheng et al., 2018) and then incubated at 35 ± 2°C for additional 40 to 48 h.

**L. monocytogenes Survival in Almond Meal Under Different $a_w$ and Storage Temperatures**

Almond meal was inoculated and equilibrated as described in *Inoculation and Equilibration*. Following 7 days of equilibration at $a_w$ 0.25 ± 0.02 or 0.45 ± 0.02, the inoculated almond meal was aliquoted, sealed in moisture-barrier bags (Dri-Shield 3000®; Desco Industries, Inc., CA, United States), and then subjected to 44- to 48-week storage at room temperature (RT, 22°C) or refrigerated temperature (4°C). The inoculated almond meal under respective storage was sampled at 1 and 4 weeks of storage and then every 4 or 8 weeks until the end of storage.
**L. monocytogenes** was analyzed at the selected sampling points per the above described method. The \(a_w\) of samples inside each moisture barrier bag was monitored at each sampling day. Two sets of biologically independent inoculated almond meal were prepared. For each independent set, there were three samples at each storage sampling time.

**D-Value and \(z\)-Value Analysis**

The following first-order kinetic model was utilized to analyze the thermal inactivation kinetics data (Peleg, 2006):

\[
\log\left(\frac{N}{N_0}\right) = -\frac{t}{D}
\]

where \(t\) is the time of the isothermal treatment (min) after the come-up to the specified treatment temperature; \(N_0\) is the initial bacteria population at \(t = 0\); \(N\) is the bacteria population at specific time \((t)\); and \(D\) is the time in minutes required to reduce the microbial population by 90% at a selected temperature (\(^\circ\)C). \(D\)-values were estimated from the thermal inactivation curve using log-linear regression analysis at inactivation temperature. The \(z\)-values, in \(^\circ\)C, were determined from the decimal reduction time curves of log \(D\)-value versus temperature and were calculated as \(z = -\text{slope}^{-1}\). 

**Statistical Analyses**

Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance, and mean differences were separated by Tukey multiple-comparisons test using the generalized linear model from Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS, 2000). \(P\) values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Chemical Composition and Particle Size Distribution**

The \(a_w\) of receiving almond meal used in this study was 0.51 at 22\(^\circ\)C (Figure 1). The background microbiota of the non-inoculated almond meal samples was 2.74 ± 0.04 log\(_{10}\) CFU/g. The proximate chemical composition analyses showed that almond meal contained 51.6% fat, 21.0% protein, and 18.9% carbohydrate (Figure 1). The 80% of particle size of almond meal ranged from 250 to 400 \(\mu\)m (Figure 1).

**Fate of L. monocytogenes in Almond Meal During Extended Storage at 4 and 22\(^\circ\)C**

During the 44–48 weeks of storage at 4 and 22\(^\circ\)C, the \(a_w\) of almond did not change significantly (Figure 2). The \(L.\ monocytogenes\) populations remained stable in \(a_w\) 0.25 and 0.45 almond meal stored at 4\(^\circ\)C over 44 to 48 weeks. There was only 0.20 and 0.17 log\(_{10}\) CFU/g reduction for the \(a_w\) 0.25 and 0.45 almond meal, respectively (Figures 2B,D). But \(L.\ monocytogenes\) populations declined at 22\(^\circ\)C, especially in \(a_w\) 0.45 almond meal. There was 1.69 and 2.14 log\(_{10}\) CFU/g reduction of \(L.\ monocytogenes\) in \(a_w\) 0.25 and 0.45 almond meal over 44- to 48-week storage, respectively (Figures 2A,C).

**Thermal Inactivation of L. monocytogenes in Almond Meal With TDT and TWA Cells**

The inactivation kinetics of \(L.\ monocytogenes\) in almond meal using TDT cells were fitted using log-linear modeling (Figure 3). Based on the trend lines of the log-linear model, \(D\)-value at 70\(^\circ\)C (\(D_{70}\)-value) for \(L.\ monocytogenes\) in almond meal preconditioned to \(a_w\) 0.45 was 26.1 ± 1.5 min (Figure 3). \(D_{75}\)-values at \(a_w\) 0.25...
FIGURE 2 | The survival of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal during over the course of 44- to 48-week storage period at 4 and 22°C. (A) aw 0.25, 22°C; (B) aw 0.25, 4°C; (C) aw 0.45, 22°C; and (D) aw 0.45, 4°C. The solid line and filled square in black represent the microbial count; the solid line and filled square in green represent aw of almond meal under respective storage. Experiments were repeated independently twice. aw: water activity measured at 22°C. RT: room temperature, 22°C.

and 0.45 were 47.6 ± 2.7 and 17.2 ± 0.4 min, respectively. D80-values at aw 0.25 and 0.45 were 22.0 ± 1.1 and 11.0 ± 1.0 min, respectively (Figure 3). At each temperature, the inactivation rates of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal, as characterized by the slopes of log-linear fitting line, increased as aw increased.

The LiCl solution has a relatively stable aw during heating treatment, which creates a stable relative humidity within TWA cell microenvironment (Figure 4D). Therefore, aw of almond meal inside TWA is stable during heating. Like TDT cells, the inactivation kinetics of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal using TWA cells fitted well to a log-linear model (Figures 4A,B). Based on the trend lines of the log-linear model, D80-, D85-, and D90-values for *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal preconditioned to aw 0.25 were 59.5 ± 2.1, 27.7 ± 0.7, and 13.2 ± 1.1 min, respectively. At each temperature, D-value obtained from TWA cells was 2.5 times that obtained from TDT cells (Figure 4C), suggesting that the aw at a specific treatment temperature played a critical role in inactivation of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal.

The z-value of *L. monocytogenes* in aw 0.25 almond meal obtained with TWA cells was 15.4 ± 1.0°C, which was not different from z-value of *L. monocytogenes* in aw 0.25 almond meal obtained with TDT cells. However, the z-value of *L. monocytogenes* in aw 0.45 almond meal obtained with TDT cells was more than 10°C higher than that in aw 0.25 almond meal (Figure 5).

**DISCUSSION**

It is assumed that almonds are subjected to microbial contamination during production and processing. A long survey documented an average 0.87% prevalence of *Salmonella* in raw almonds over more than 5 years (Danyluk et al., 2007). The microbial safety risks of almond and almond products was highlighted by *Salmonella* outbreaks implicated in raw almonds in Canada and the United States (CDC, 2004; Isaacs et al., 2005), as well as recent almond product recalls associated with potential *L. monocytogenes* contamination (FDA, 2017b; FDA, 2017c; FDA, 2018; FDA, 2019). However, the current thermal intervention studies in almond/almond meal have been focused on *Salmonella* (Du et al., 2010; Villa-Rojas et al., 2013; Limcharoenchat et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019). As an important foodborne pathogen with high mortality, it is important to evaluate the factors that impact desiccation and thermal stability of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal.

**Factors Influence Desiccation Stability of *L. monocytogenes* in Low-Moisture Foods**

Raw almonds or almond meal can be stored for more than 1 year at room, refrigerated, or frozen temperatures.
FIGURE 3 | The representative thermal inactivation kinetic curves and D-values of L. monocytogenes in almond meal at the selected temperatures. (A) a\textsubscript{w} 0.25, (B) a\textsubscript{w} 0.45, (C) a\textsubscript{w} 0.25 and 0.45. (D) D-values obtained using TDT cells. a\textsuperscript{A,B} Mean values within a column without common letter differ significantly (P < 0.05). A,B Mean values within a row without common letter differ significantly (P < 0.05). Experiments were repeated independently three times. a\textsubscript{w}: water activity measured at 22\degree C.

(Lambertini et al., 2012). L. monocytogenes can survive for months or even years in various La\textsubscript{w}F (Kenney and Beuchat, 2004; Kimber et al., 2012; Brar et al., 2015; Koseki et al., 2015; Rachon et al., 2016; Taylor et al., 2018; Tsai et al., 2019b; Ballom et al., 2020), with their survival in La\textsubscript{w}F influenced by a\textsubscript{w}, storage temperature and food composition.

Storage Temperature and Water Activity
In general, L. monocytogenes is stable in almond meal when stored at 4\degree C. Consistently, L. monocytogenes was very stable in almonds kernels, in-shell pistachios and pecans (Kimber et al., 2012; Brar et al., 2015) during 1-year 4\degree C storage. Compared to cold storage, L. monocytogenes was less stable in almond meal stored at 22\degree C. This is also the case for almonds kernels, in-shell pistachios (Kimber et al., 2012) and pecans (Brar et al., 2015). Given the high fat content, it is preferred to store tree nut products at cooler temperature to maintain desirable quality attributes, which might compromise the microbial safety of tree nuts.

The previous study showed that the desiccation stability of L. monocytogenes increased in wheat flour when a\textsubscript{w} decreased from 0.56 to 0.30 (Taylor et al., 2018). Concordantly, the stability of L. monocytogenes in almond meal stored at 22\degree C increased as a\textsubscript{w} decreased, but the increment was much smaller than that in wheat flour. The observed difference might be due to the interaction between L. monocytogenes and different food matrices. It could also be due to a different a\textsubscript{w} range. In contrast, the stability of L. monocytogenes in almond meal stored at 4\degree C was not influenced by a\textsubscript{w}.

In support of our finding, impacts of storage atmosphere on survival of E. coli ATCC 25922 in almond meal were more dramatic as temperature increased from 4 to 24\degree C (Cheng and Wang, 2018).

Food Matrix
Listeria monocytogenes showed more stability in fat-rich almond meal than in protein-rich NFDM (Ballom et al., 2020) and carbohydrate-rich wheat flour (Taylor et al., 2018). The L. monocytogenes population was reduced by 0.20 and 1.69 log\textsubscript{10} CFU/g in a\textsubscript{w} 0.25 almond meal compared to 1.75 and 2.93 log\textsubscript{10} CFU/g reduction in a\textsubscript{w} 0.25 NFDM over 44- to 48-week storage at 4 and 22\degree C, respectively (Ballom et al., 2020).

The D-values obtained using TDT cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (\degree C)</th>
<th>a\textsubscript{w} 0.25</th>
<th>a\textsubscript{w} 0.45</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>26.1 ± 1.5\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>47.6 ± 2.7\textsuperscript{aA}</td>
<td>17.2 ± 0.4\textsuperscript{bA}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>22.0 ± 1.1\textsuperscript{bA}</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.0\textsuperscript{cB}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.2\textsuperscript{cA}</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The D-values in min were averaged from three independent trials. TDT: thermal death time.
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**FIGURE 4** | $D$-values of *L. monocytogenes* in a $w_0.25$ almond meal calculated from thermal inactivation kinetic curves using both TDT and TWA cells. (A) A representative death curve using TDT cells. (B) A representative death curve using TWA cells. (C) $D$-value comparison between TDT and TWA cells. (D) Schematic diagram of TWA cells. $^a$-$^c$Mean values within a column without common letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). $^A$-$^B$Mean values within a row without common letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). Experiments were repeated independently three times. $a_w$: water activity measured at 22°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>TDT</th>
<th>TWA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>22.0 ± 1.1$^{aA}$</td>
<td>59.5 ± 2.1$^{A}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.2$^{aA}$</td>
<td>27.7 ± 0.7$^{aB}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.4$^{aA}$</td>
<td>13.2 ± 1.1$^{aB}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The $D$-values in min were averaged from three independent trials. TDT: thermal death time cells; TWA: thermal water activity cells.

**FIGURE 5** | Log $D$-values (decimal reduction time to achieve 90% population reduction at the selected temperature) of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal at different temperatures. (A) Log $D$-values at $a_w$ 0.25 and 0.45 almond meal using TDT cells. (B) Log $D$-values at $a_w$ 0.25 almond meal using TDT and TWA cells. (C) $z$-values. $^a$-$^c$Mean values within a row without common letter differ significantly ($P < 0.05$). The thermal inactivation tests were conducted three times independently. TDT: thermal death time cells; TWA: thermal water activity cells; $a_w$: water activity measured at 22°C.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TDT, $a_w$ 0.45</th>
<th>TDT, $a_w$ 0.25</th>
<th>TWA, $a_w$ 0.25</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$z$-value ($^\circ$C)</td>
<td>26.7 ± 1.5$^A$</td>
<td>15.5 ± 0.8$^B$</td>
<td>15.4 ± 1.0$^B$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SEM, averaged from three independent studies.
et al., 2020). However, *L. monocytogenes* had a comparable stability in *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.30 wheat flour as *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.25 NFDM (Taylor et al., 2018; Ballom et al., 2020). Cocoa powder had the same carbohydrate contents (~57%) (Tsai et al., 2019a) as that of wheat flour (Taylor et al., 2018), but a 5.20 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g reduction of *L. monocytogenes* was observed in *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.30 cocoa powder over ~200 days storage at 22°C (Tsai et al., 2019b) in contrast to 2.52 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g reduction in *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.30 wheat flour (Taylor et al., 2018), indicating other components such as polyphenols might impact the stability of *L. monocytogenes* in La<sub>w</sub>F. Of note, a higher magnitude of *L. monocytogenes* decline was observed in almond kernels (0.71 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g per month), in-shell pistachios (0.86 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g per month) (Kimber et al., 2012) and pecans (1.17 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g per month) (Brar et al., 2015) during 1-year ambient storage. This might be due to different *a*<sub>w</sub>, relative humidity and food microstructure in addition to food matrix, given the *a*<sub>w</sub>/relative humidity was not controlled during storage in these studies.

**Impacts of Water Activity on Thermal Resistance of *L. monocytogenes* in Almond Meal**

The *a*<sub>w</sub> was recognized as a primary factor influencing bacterial thermal resistance in La<sub>w</sub>F. The thermal resistance of *Salmonella* in La<sub>w</sub>F is inversely related to *a*<sub>w</sub> (He et al., 2013; Villa-Rojas et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2019; Tsai et al., 2019a). The same is true for *L. monocytogenes* in wheat flour (Taylor et al., 2018), cocoa powder (Tsai et al., 2019b), milk powder (Ballom et al., 2020), and almond meal in the present study. The *D<sub>75</sub>*-value of *L. monocytogenes* in *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.25 almond meal was over two times of that in *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.45 almond meal.

The previous study showed that the change in *a*<sub>w</sub> of almond flour during heat treatment depended on its initial equilibrated *a*<sub>w</sub> at 22°C. The *a*<sub>w</sub> of almond flour with initial *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.25 increased as the temperature increased from 20 to 80°C, whereas the *a*<sub>w</sub> of almond flour with initial *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.45 was relatively stable between 20 and 80°C (Tadapaneni et al., 2017). To evaluate alteration of *a*<sub>w</sub> at treatment temperatures as a contributing factor to thermal resistance of *L. monocytogenes*, we further evaluated thermal stability of *L. monocytogenes* in *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.25 almond meal under constant *a*<sub>w</sub> using TWA cells (Tadapaneni et al., 2018). The *D<sub>60</sub>* and *D<sub>95</sub>*-values of *L. monocytogenes* in *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.25 almond meal obtained from TWA cells were approximately 2.6 to 2.7 times of those determined using TDT cells. In support of our findings, *D<sub>60</sub>*-value of *Salmonella* in *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.25 blanched almond flour or wheat flour obtained from TWA cells was approximately 2 or 4 times of that obtained using TDT cells (Xu et al., 2019). These data highlight that *a*<sub>w</sub> at treatment temperature is an important factor affecting bacterial thermal resistance, which provide insights to the different thermal resistance of *L. monocytogenes* in different La<sub>w</sub>F.

**Impacts of Food Matrix on Thermal Resistance of *L. monocytogenes* in Low-Moisture Foods**

While *a*<sub>w</sub> is an important factor in determining thermal death–time curves, food matrices have a complex relationship with bacterial survival in La<sub>w</sub>F during thermal treatments. Previous studies showed that, in general, the thermal resistance of *L. monocytogenes* in NFDM (Ballom et al., 2020) were higher than that in wheat flour (Taylor et al., 2018) or cocoa powder (Tsai et al., 2019b) at their respective *a*<sub>w</sub> and inactivation temperatures. *D<sub>75</sub>*- and *D<sub>90</sub>*-values at *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.45 NFDM, wheat flour, and cocoa powder were 9.4/4.3, 7.7/3.1, and 3.4/1.8 min, respectively. This study showed that the *D<sub>75</sub>*- and *D<sub>90</sub>*-values of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal preconditioned to *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.25/0.45 obtained in TDT cells were higher than the respective *D*-values in *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.25/0.45 NFDM (47.6/17.2 and 22.0/11.0 versus 33.5/9.4 and 14.6/4.3 min; Ballom et al., 2020). Data indicated that *L. monocytogenes* is most resistant in fat-rich food matrix and least resistant in antimicrobial-rich matrices such as cocoa powder during thermal treatment. The exact mechanism for the observed different thermal resistance is unknown, which could result from unique *a*<sub>w</sub> alteration at the treatment temperature, and/or complicated interaction between food components and bacteria, warranting future research.

**CONCLUSION**

*L. monocytogenes* was stable in almond meal; there was an approximately 0.20/0.17 and 1.69/2.14 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g reduction in *a*<sub>w</sub> 0.25/0.45 almond meal over 44- to 48-week storage at 4 and 22°C, respectively. Thermal resistance of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal was inversely related to the *a*<sub>w</sub> of samples. The *a*<sub>w</sub> of samples at treatment temperature plays an important role in thermal stability of *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal; the *D<sub>60</sub>*-, *D<sub>80</sub>*-, and *D<sub>90</sub>*-values of *L. monocytogenes* obtained by TWA cells were 59.5, 27.7, and 13.2 min, respectively, compared to 22.0, 10.6, and 4.6 min from TDT cells. Data herein contribute to our understanding on the survival of *L. monocytogenes* on tree nuts as well as other La<sub>w</sub>F during desiccation and thermal processing and provide guidelines for developing practical strategies to control *L. monocytogenes* in almond meal and other La<sub>w</sub>F.
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